

JOURNAL OF THE JFK ASSASSINATION APOLOGIES

When you allow me only two pages to respond to the complete fabrications about me Ralph included in his defamation of Dr. David Worne (and defamation is not a refutation or even a response), you require directness.

Thus I begin by saying that Luker is a liar, a knowing and deliberate liar who seems to be doing the dirty work for another, several of whom I could name from what Luker wrote you. (I suggest in your interest and that of the truth you should do more than ask the liar and let him lie again.)

I had nothing to do with the Worne review of the second of Gerald Posner's Whoring with History, the one in ~~the~~ which he protected those who did kill King. However, Worne is a cherished friend I love him and his family and I have given him an abundance of materials. He wrote a book about the historical importance of the secrets I was able to disclose with what I obtained in one of the dozen or so FOIA lawsuits I filed and by which I obtained about a third of a million ~~the~~ formerly assassination secret records. These were some of the Warren Commission's dirtiest secrets.

It is worse than nonsense, worse than the complete lie it is to defame the man recently retired from a fine career as a professor of history to demean Worne by writing, another complete fabrication, that I hired him as a gofer! When I had this vast collection of all those once-secret official records and used only the official evidence in my writing, of which ten books have been printed. And for Worne to bring me what the FBI itself delivered ^{to me} is not only ridiculous, it would have required travel of more than half the width of the country ^{each time}.

^{after} When it became physically impossible for me to retrieve those records I had in my basement, I decided to use the unique subject-matter understanding and factual knowledge I have for a series of books titled Inside the JFK Assassination Industry. About thirty are now completed, more are ^{planned and} planned, and contrary to Luker's

^{alone} fabrication that they do not exist, in the past week I've had letters from two professors who, between them, have twenty-one of them. (see separate explanation). The FBI itself bestowed unique credentials on me when, in an effort to end its perpetual perjury to keep secret what was not properly secret under FOIA, I put myself under oath rather than depending on immune lawyer pleadings and, with

myself subject to the perjury charge the FBI or the Justice Department could file.

I ~~am~~ attributed perjury, a felony to it. But instead of denying my attribution of perjury to it, it told that federal court judge, ^{in C.A. 75-276,} that I "could make such claims ad infinitum since he is perhaps more familiar with events surrounding the investigation of President Kennedy's assassination than anyone now employed by the F.B.I."

"I knew many men in the FBI so that licensed it to be felonious."

"Nobody I know chose to go head-to-head with the FBI to make the suppressed information public and nobody of whom I know ever got such an endorsement from the FBI and the Justice Department. As, if he were not the subject-matter ignorant he is, Luker would have known. And with those about 80,000 pages of the third of a million pages

which really means I wrote,
on which to draw, the last thing I would have ~~done~~ ^{done}, and I did not ever do, is theorize and have what I did subject to legitimate question. Which none has been when the first, the first on the subject, was of thirty-five years ago.

Strong as my criticisms of all of them is, not a member or employee of the Warren Commission phoned or wrote to complain of any unfairness or inaccuracy in what I printed and one member approved and supported my work until his dying days. (See attach.)

I could go on indefinitely exposing the fabrications and intended defamations that are also but not within your ^{space} limitation. However, the rough draft of my comment on it is being retyped and if you want a copy, I'll send it. My file copy will be added to Inside the JFK Assassination Industry.

An editorial policy intending to promote dialogue is fine but ^{is} ~~not~~ ^{result} the practise of uncritical publication of obvious falsehood and complete fabrications ^{especially} without even adequate space for response. That is like getting Hitler to write history. And in this connection, allowing that shameless phony Luker, to refer to me, a Jew, as a "Holocaust denier" should have sent your hair climbing. It is an outrage and is of even greater outrageousness when I was one of the first to learn about that atrocity, could not place that article in any magazines and in the end gave it to a small Jewish publication.

But for Luker to make this indecent ^{lie} lie up is typical of the man as he exposes himself without realising, from his ignorance, that he does that.

(I would like to add this little bit that exceeds your space limitations)

You owe Wrono and me, and your trusting readers and the precious history
you failed and corrupted, abject apologies.